


(Download) Master The GMAT - 2010: CD-ROM Inside; Boost YOur Business School Application with a Great GMAT Score (Peterson's Master the GMAT (w/CD))

Master The GMAT - 2010: CD-ROM Inside; Boost YOur Business School Application with a Great GMAT Score (Peterson's Master the GMAT (w/CD))

Mark Alan Stewart

audiobook / *ebooks / Download PDF / ePub / DOC



DOWNLOAD



READ ONLINE

#4242425 in Books Peterson's 2009-03-16Original language:EnglishPDF # 1 1.00 x 1.00 x 1.00l, 2.55 #File Name: 0768927846720 pages | File size: 64.Mb

Mark Alan Stewart : Master The GMAT - 2010: CD-ROM Inside; Boost YOur Business School Application with a Great GMAT Score (Peterson's Master the GMAT (w/CD)) before purchasing it in order to gage whether or not it would be worth my time, and all praised Master The GMAT - 2010: CD-ROM Inside; Boost YOur Business School Application with a Great GMAT Score (Peterson's Master the GMAT (w/CD)):

2 of 2 people found the following review helpful. A Must BuyBy anonymousWhat I liked most about this book was the CD that offered additional real life practice tests. The research I found showed that this book was one of the books

that had the highest number of practice tests than other books on the market. Additionally, the subject reviews were thorough and provided me with a strong understanding of the subject matter. The section on the GMAT writing assessment was extremely helpful, especially since I'm much more a numbers person than a writer. I took the GMAT and feel confident that this book prepared me sufficiently and I expect to get the score I need to go to the business school of my choice. This book was a great investment and I will be recommending it to my friends.

2 of 2 people found the following review helpful. Practice questions are so different from official gmat questions. I was a little disappointed after practicing the questions in Peterson's GMAT book. They lack true resemblance to the official GMAT, in fact, some of the logic is contradictory to GMAT logic. I have worked on the official guide, verbal and quantitative books, and after working on Peterson's book, the questions are out of scope, like I never seen them before. While working on official guide, I was taking GMAT prep course, and they taught me all the patterns in Sentence Correction and logics in Critical Reasoning. One of the basic rules in GMAT's critical reasoning is, you cannot deny the facts in the question, you must believe they are true. In Peterson's diagnostic test, many questions are trying to deny the facts in the question, or trying to argue about the rhetorics. For example, One question was about a survey on "high culture", asking people about their interest in ballet, dance etc.. Most people answered "interested", so the survey concluded people were truly interested. The question asks to find an answer that weakens this claim. The answer was that ballet, dance does not equal to high culture, therefore the survey had wrong assumptions. What??? Trying to deny certain facts and assumptions written in the question is so not GMAT logic. If you try to think the Peterson way, you will do poorly on the real GMAT. The real GMAT wants you to derive logic from the question, and not to question the assumptions stated. Just study the official guide, there are plenty of questions in it that are similar to what you expect in the real GMAT. Try to understand why answers are wrong is just as important as getting them right.

2 of 2 people found the following review helpful. Lacks full explanations for some answers and contains some errors. By Customer. In my studying I'm focusing on the verbal portion of the GMAT. In the tutorial section this book gives full explanations for why the right answers are correct and why the wrong answers are incorrect. However, once you get to the practice tests the book only gives the reasons for the answer that was selected. Sometimes this is enough to help me learn what adjustments I needed to make, but all too often I chose an answer that addressed all of the points listed in favor of the correct answer but was for some reason not correct. Without further explanation of the wrong answers I was left unable to easily understand what concepts I was missing. Sometimes I could figure this out through reviewing the tutorial portion but this was time consuming and not always sufficient. A very frustrating experience. The final straw for me was when I found a question where the book suggested an answer that was exactly opposite in meaning to the question. This is a common mistake and one the book specifically warns you not to make, but coupled with my previous frustrations this destroyed any trust I had that the answers given in the situation outlined above were correct.

000000000000 0000000000 000000000000